Case 5:05-cv-01668-RMW Document 89 Filed 05/04/06 Page 1 of 5

1	Juanita Brooks (CA No. 75934, brooks@fr.com) FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.				
2	12390 El Camino Real				
3	San Diego, California 92130 Telephone: (858) 678-5070				
	Facsimile: (858) 678-5099				
4	John M. Farrell (CA No. 99649, jfarrell@fr.com)				
5	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 500 Arguello Street, Suite 500				
6	Redwood City, California 94063				
7	Telephone: (650) 839-5070 Facsimile: (650) 839-5071				
8	Ruffin B. Cordell (pro hac vice - cordell@fr.com)				
9	Joseph V. Colaianni (pro hac vice - colaianni@fr.com)				
	Timothy W. Riffe (pro hac vice - riffe@fr.com) FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.				
10	1425 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005				
11	Telephone: (202) 783-5070 Facsimile: (202) 783-2331				
12					
13	Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Claimant INTEL CORPORATION				
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (SAN JOSE DIVISION) *E EIL ED 5/4/06*				
	COMPUTER CACHE COHERENCY	*E-FILED - 5/4/06*			
16	CORPORATION,	Case No. 05-CV-01668 RMW (HRL)			
17	Plaintiff,	Case No. 05-01766 RMW (HRL)			
18	v.	(Consolidated Through Claim Construction)			
19	VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and	AMENDED JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: BRIEFING			
20	VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (USA),	SCHEDULE AND HEARING DATE			
21	Defendants and Counter-				
	Claimants.				
22	COMPUTER CACHE COHERENCY CORPORATION,				
23	·				
24	Plaintiff,				
25	v.				
26	INTEL CORPORATION,				
27	Defendant and Counter-Claimant.				
28					
_ U	1				

Case 5:05-cv-01668-RMW Document 89 Filed 05/04/06 Page 2 of 5

Following the Case Management Conference held on March 24, 2006, the Court adopted a briefing schedule for summary judgment motions which implicate claim construction issues. The parties have encountered unexpected difficulties in scheduling several depositions and therefore request all dates in the briefing schedule be extended by two weeks, as shown in the table below.

The parties also request that the date for the hearing on the summary judgment motions and claim construction be rescheduled for either August 22 or August 29, 2006, to accommodate vacation schedules in the first two weeks in August. All counsel prefer the August 29, 2006 date.

Additionally, the Technology Tutorial could not go forward on April 25, 2006 because plaintiff's counsel suffered a broken ankle. In light of that injury and to accommodate other counsel's trial schedule, the parties request that the Technology Tutorial take place on July 25, 2006 at 1:30 p.m.

Event	Former Date	Proposed New Date
Deadline for filing dispositive summary	May 5, 2006	May 19, 2006
judgment motions which implicate claim		
construction issues.		
Deadline for filing any motions pursuant to	May 26, 2006	June 9, 2006
Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(f) in response to the		
above summary judgment motions		
Deadline for filing oppositions to the above	June 16, 2006	June 30, 2006
summary judgment motions		
Deadline for filing reply briefs in support of	June 30, 2006	July 14, 2006
the above summary judgment motions		
Technology Tutorial	April 25, 2006 at	August 15, 2006 at
	1:30 p.m.	1:30 p.m.
Hearing on summary judgment motions and	July 25, 2006 at	September 19, 2006
claim construction	9:00 a.m	at 9:00 a.m.
Further CMC after claim construction ruling	To be set in ruling	Same

Case 5:05-cv-01668-RMW Document 89 Filed 05/04/06 Page 3 of 5

1	SO STIPULATED.	
2	Dated: April 27, 2006	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
3		
4		By: /s/ John M. Farrell John M. Farrell
5		Juanita Brooks
6 7		Timothy W. Riffe (pro hac vice) Ruffin B. Cordell (pro hac vice) Joseph V. Colaianni (pro hac vice)
8		Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Claimant
9		INTEL CORPORATION
10	Dated: April 27, 2006	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
11		Professional Corporation
12		
13		By: /s/ Jennifer Ochs Robert P. Feldman
14		Jennifer Ochs Maura Rees
15		Matthew R. Reed
16		Attorneys for Defendants and Counter-Claimants VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC., and
17		VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC., USA
18	Dated: April 27, 2006	HENNIGAN BENNETT & DORMAN LLP
19		Committee of the commit
20		By: /s/ Lawrence M. Hadley
21		Lawrence M. Hadley Omer Salik
22		
23		Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant COMPUTER CACHE COHERENCY CORPORATION
24		CORTORATION
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case 5:05-cv-01668-RMW Document 89 Filed 05/04/06 Page 4 of 5

1	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that:		
2	1. The "new dates" for the briefing schedule described above is adopted.		
3	2. The date for the hearing on summary judgment motions and claim construction		
4	shall be: _September 19, 2006 @ 9:00 a.m.		
5			
6	Dated: <u>5</u>	5/4/06	/s/ Ronald M. Whyte
7			Hon. Ronald M. Whyte United States District Judge
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Case 5:05-cv-01668-RMW Document 89 Filed 05/04/06 Page 5 of 5

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45.X I, John M. Farrell, hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the signatories. Dated: April 27, 2006 By: /s/ John M. Farrell John M. Farrell 50344924.doc